

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

CANDACE L. DORNING,

Civil No. 2:07-CV-2038-RSL-JPD

Plaintiff,

vs.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,
Commissioner of Social Security,

ORDER FOR REMAND

Defendant

Based on the stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ORDERED that the above-captioned case be reversed and remanded for further administrative proceedings, including, but not limited to, the following actions: the administrative law judge (ALJ) will further consider the examining source opinions of Dr. Tietjen (Tr. 190-192), and Dr. Barlett (Tr. 193-200) and Anselm Parlatore, M.D. (Tr. 10-15; submitted to the Appeals Council), and will explain the weight given to such opinion evidence; as appropriate, the ALJ may request the examining sources to provide additional evidence and/or further clarification of the opinions and medical source statements about what Plaintiff can do despite the impairments; the ALJ will further evaluate Plaintiff's subjective complaints, specifically noting her testimony regarding her work experience during high school with the assistance of a job coach and her work at the Day Camp (Tr. 213-224); the ALJ will further evaluate the lay witnesses' testimony and statements, which includes the statements of

1 Gary Dorning (Tr. 85-93, 107-108) and Fleta Dorning (Tr. 227-233) and the school records that
2 discuss Plaintiff's work experiences as a high school student with a job coach
3 (Tr. 125, 129, 147, and 169) and explain the weight afforded, providing appropriate rationale; in
4 light of the further consideration given to the examining source opinions, Plaintiff's testimony, and
5 the testimony and statements from lay witnesses, the ALJ will reassess Plaintiff's residual
6 functional capacity and provide appropriate rationale with specific references to evidence of
7 record in support of the assessed limitations (20 C.F.R. § 416.945 and Social Security Ruling
8 96-8p); the ALJ will obtain evidence from a vocational expert to clarify the effect of the assessed
9 limitations on Plaintiff's occupational base; and the ALJ will reevaluate Plaintiff's education
10 consistent with 20 C.F.R. § 416.964.

11
12 No specific aspect of the Commissioner's final decision is affirmed, and Plaintiff may
13 submit additional evidence and arguments to the ALJ on remand.

14 This case is reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

15 DATED this 27th day of May, 2008.

16
17 

18 Robert S. Lasnik
19 United States District Judge

20
21 Recommended for Entry this
22 23rd day of May, 2008:

23 s/ JAMES P. DONOHUE
24 United States Magistrate Judge